

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

W

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/065,093	09/17/2002	Marc Schaepkens	124335	6153
6147	7590 09/23/2004		EXAMINER	
GENERAL ELECTRIC COMPANY GLOBAL RESEARCH			LEURIG, SHARLENE L	
PATENT DOCKET RM. BLDG. K1-4A59 NISKAYUNA, NY 12309		4A59	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2879	

DATE MAILED: 09/23/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Advisory Action	10/065,093	SCHAEPKENS ET A	L.		
•	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Sharlene Leurig	2879			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence addr	ess		
THE REPLY FILED 08 September 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a inal rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.					
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]				
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adv event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).  Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The datave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extensions.	isory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE te on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1	the final rejection. E FINAL REJECTION. So 36(a) and the appropriate	ee MPEP extension fee		
37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three mosarned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	statutory period for reply originally set in	the final Office action; or (	2) as set forth in		
<ol> <li>A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant?</li> <li>37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF</li> </ol>	R 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal				
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered b	ecause:				
(a) they raise new issues that would require furth	er consideration and/or search (	see NOTE below);			
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note t	• •				
(c) ☐ they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or					
(d) 🔲 they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.					
NOTE:					
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection.	• •				
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>					
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request fo application in condition for allowance because: Se		sidered but does NO	T place the		
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which wer	re newly		
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims w	· · · · —	•	and an		
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:					
Claim(s) allowed:					
Claim(s) objected to:					
Claim(s) rejected: 20-24.					
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 1-19.					
8. ☐ The drawing correction filed on is a) ☐ app	proved or b) disapproved by	the Examiner.			
9.  Note the attached Information Disclosure Stateme	nt(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s).				
10.⊠ Other: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u>					

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: the Codama reference discloses a device having a first electrically conducting layer separate from the first electrically conducting layer of another light-emitting element and a second electrically conducting layer being separate from the second electrically conducting layer of another light-emitting layer.

Continuation of 10. Other: The amended claims would be rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Codama (6,091,078) (of record).

NIMESHKUMAR D. PATEL SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800